

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : A61F 13/00	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 95/06449 (43) International Publication Date: 9 March 1995 (09.03.95)
---	----	--

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US94/09883

(22) International Filing Date: 31 August 1994 (31.08.94)

(30) Priority Data:

08/114,912	31 August 1993 (31.08.93)	US
08/259,613	14 June 1994 (14.06.94)	US

(71) Applicant: MINNESOTA MINING AND MANUFACTURING COMPANY [US/US]; 3M Center, P.O. Box 33427, Saint Paul, MN 55133-3427 (US).

(72) Inventors: AUGST, George, W.; P.O. Box 33427, Saint Paul, MN 55133-3427 (US). LIBERDA, Margo, A.; P.O. Box 33427, Saint Paul, MN 55133-3427 (US). RIEDEL, John, E.; P.O. Box 33427, Saint Paul, MN 55133-3427 (US).

(74) Agents: HOFFMAN, Amy, J. et al.; Office of Intellectual Property Counsel, Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Company, P.O. Box 33427, Saint Paul, MN 55133-3427 (US).

(81) Designated States: AM, AT, AU, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CZ, DE, DK, ES, FI, GB, GE, HU, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LK, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MN, MW, NL, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SI, SK, TJ, TT, UA, UZ, VN, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BI, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG), ARIPO patent (KE, MW, SD).


Published

*With international search report.**Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.*

(54) Title: PERFORATED ROLL OF ELASTIC WRAP

(57) Abstract

A roll of perforated, elastic wrap including a plurality of longitudinally spaced, laterally extending, perforated separation lines defined by a series of about 0.2 to 5 mm perforations separated by about 0.1 to 1 mm connecting segments of dressing where the ratio of perforation length to connecting segment length in each separation line is about 1:1 to 10:1 wherein the wrap can be longitudinally elongated between about 7 to 280 percent.



FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	GB	United Kingdom	MR	Mauritania
AU	Australia	GE	Georgia	MW	Malawi
BB	Barbados	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BE	Belgium	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BF	Burkina Faso	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BG	Bulgaria	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BJ	Benin	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BR	Brazil	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BY	Belarus	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
CA	Canada	KG	Kyrgyzstan	RU	Russian Federation
CF	Central Africa Republic	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	KZ	Kazakhstan	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LI	Liechtenstein	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lanka	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CS	Czechoslovakia	LV	Latvia	TG	Togo
CZ	Czech Republic	MC	Monaco	TJ	Tajikistan
DE	Germany	MD	Republic of Moldova	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
DK	Denmark	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	ML	Mali	US	United States of America
FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia	UZ	Uzbekistan
FR	France			VN	Viet Nam
GA	Gabon				

PERFORATED ROLL OF ELASTIC WRAP

Background

Elastic wraps are primarily used to wrap
5 injuries. When correctly placed, elastic wraps impart
support to strained tendons ligaments or muscles and/or
apply continuous pressure to lacerations to reduce
bleeding. Some of the most effective wraps exhibit
both stretch and elasticity. Stretch is important to
10 ensure that movement of a wrapped limb or joint is not
unduly restricted by a wrap and also to ensure that
blood flow to the affected area is not restricted.
Elasticity ensures that the wraps return to their
initial shape after being stretched by the patient in
15 order to provide continuing support to the wrapped
injury.

Elastic wraps are increasingly used in
industries where injuries are quite prevalent.
Examples of such industries include the meat packing
20 industry and professional athletics. The private
sector also uses elastic wraps for injuries incurred
during recreational activities. When the wraps are
used in the private sector or in industry, the injured
individual commonly will apply the wrap to the injury
25 without assistance from another person. Ideally,
dispensing elastic wraps would not require assistance
from another person.

Elastic wraps are commonly sold in strips in
roll form. Rolling condenses the strips and also eases
30 dispensing of the wrap without risk of tangling the
wrap. In order to dispense elastic wrap, the desired
length of elastic wrap is unrolled by unwinding the
desired length. The wrap is then cut with a pair of
scissors or similar cutting instrument. This method of
35 dispensing is quite cumbersome and very difficult if an
injured person must dress her own injury. Ideally, a

cutting instrument would not be required to sever the wraps at the appropriate length. However, due to the construction of elastic wraps, a cutting instrument such as a scissors is usually required to cut the wrap.

5 Elastomeric wraps are known in the art and are described in U.S. Patent Numbers 5,230,701, 3,575,782, 4,366,814, and 4,984,584. The wraps are formed of varied materials including but not limited to nonwoven fabrics, films and foams.

10 Many wraps are comprised of unoriented nonwoven fabrics. The random orientation of fibers of these fabrics provides useful properties and characteristics. One of these characteristics is the ability of such fabrics to resist continued linear
15 tearing in the cross direction after introduction of an initial tear in the fabric. While this resistance-to-split characteristic of nonwoven fabrics is a beneficial attribute for various applications, it presents certain difficulties when nonwoven fabrics are
20 used for wraps dispensed from a roll because a cutting instrument such as a scissors is necessary to dispense the fabric. Beyond the properties of the fabrics used to manufacture wraps, the fact that the wraps are elastic make the wraps exceedingly difficult to tear.
25 When one attempts to tear an elastic wrap, the elastic wrap is stretched rather than torn due to the resiliency of the wrap. If one does succeed in tearing an elastic wrap, the resulting tear is usually uneven. Due to the difficulty in tearing elastic wraps and the
30 resulting nonlinear tears if the wrap is torn, a tearable elastic wrap is needed.

 One approach to providing a tearable nonwoven web is disclosed in Greenway, United States Patent 4,772,499. Greenway suggests applying binder to the
35 nonwoven web in spaced linear bands so that the web can be torn in a linear fashion along the binder-free bands

of web. Unfortunately, the cost of producing such a banded nonwoven web is prohibitive for many purposes and differences in the surface characteristics of the web as between the binder-free and binder-containing
5 bands would significantly complicate manufacture of the web. It is also perceived that such bands would detract from the performance of the tape.

Patent Cooperation Treaty Publication
WO 93/15245 filed by the Minnesota Mining and
10 Manufacturing Company of St. Paul, Minnesota discloses an embossed nonwoven tape including both staple and binder fibers. The specific composition of the tape in combination with the embossed pattern on the tape renders the tape tearable in the cross-machine
15 direction along an embossed pattern in the tape. The tearable tapes disclosed by this publication are limited to those which include a significant proportion of melt-activated binder fibers.

A need exists for elastic wraps in roll
20 form which can be dispensed without the need for scissors or other cutting tools and which tear cleanly and evenly.

Summary of the Invention

25 According to the present invention, elastic wraps are provided which are capable of being dispensed without the need for cutting tools. The roll of elastic wrap includes a plurality of longitudinally spaced, laterally extending, perforated separation
30 lines defined by a series of about 0.2 to 5 mm perforations separated by about 0.1 to 1 mm connecting segments of wrap where the ratio of perforation length to connecting segment length in each separation line is about 1:1 to 10:1. The perforations allow the wrap to
35 be torn along the perforation line. However, the perforations do not unduly weaken the stretch and/or

the elasticity of the wrap. The invention therefore provides an elastic wrap which is tearable yet functional enough to provide support to injuries.

5 **Brief Description of the Drawings**

Figure 1 is a perspective view of one embodiment of a roll of elastic wrap manufactured in accordance with the present invention.

10 Figure 2 is a top view of the elastic wrap of Figure 1.

Figure 3 is a top view of a first alternative embodiment for the separation line in the elastic wrap of the present invention.

15 Figure 4 is a top view of a second alternative embodiment for the separation line in the wrap of the present invention.

Detailed Description of the Invention

20 The elastic wrap 10 of the present invention is comprised of a nonwoven web, a film, a foam or a woven fabric which exhibits stretch and recovery in one or more directions.

For the purposes of this disclosure the term elastic is defined as having the property of generally returning to an original size or shape after stretching. One skilled in the art will recognize that the property of elasticity is a continuum. Some surgical tapes are mostly nonelastic while other tapes and wraps may exhibit a large degree of elasticity.

30 The elastic property as characterized by the materials of the invention is defined as having a recovery, after stretching, wherein the material returns to at least 90% of its original shape within a two minute time period with a recovery force of at least 50% of the

35 force required to stretch the material.

As stated above, elastic nonwoven fabrics are among the materials suitable for constructing the wraps of the invention. Elastic nonwoven fabrics are best known as constructed of 1) a composite of an elastic web and a nonwoven fabric, 2) a resin bonded to a web of elastic nonwoven fabric, or 3) stretchable nonwoven fabric bonded by an elastomer. The present invention anticipates that other constructions of elastic materials comprised of nonwoven webs will also be suitable for practicing the present invention. U.S. Patent Numbers 5,230,701, 4,984,584, 4,366,814 and 3,575,782 all disclose elastic materials comprised of nonwoven webs.

Other fabrics, films and foams are also suitable for constructing the wraps of the invention. For example, elastic films such as polyurethane films are readily available and are suitable for the invention. Likewise, elastic foams such as polyvinylchloride, polyethylene and polyurethane foams are also suited for the invention.

The invention is described both by its appearance and also by its composition. To describe the appearance of the wrap of the invention, we turn to Figures 1 through 4.

In a preferred embodiment, the elastic wrap 10 is rolled onto core 100 (Figure 1). Elastic wrap 10 has a longitudinal direction 11 or machine direction and a lateral direction 12 or cross machine direction. To facilitate dispensing individual sheets of elastic wrap 80 from the roll, perforated separation lines 50 extending laterally across the elastic wrap are uniformly spaced longitudinally along the length of the roll. As seen in Figures 2 and 3, each separation line 50 is defined by a series of perforations 60, each of which has a perforation length 60D between about 0.2 and 5 mm. The connecting segments 70 between the

perforations 60 are between about 0.1 and 1 mm in length (70D). The ratio of the perforation length 60D to the connecting segment length 70D is about 1:1 to 10:1. For the purposes of this disclosure a "roll" is defined as wraps wound on a core, wound about itself without a core, or loosely folded.

Perforation length 60D is measured as the distance between the longitudinal lines passing through the lateral extremities of the two connecting segments bonding the perforation 60. Connecting segment length 70D is the shortest distance between adjacent perforations 60.

Elastic wraps benefit by incorporating the separation lines 50 described herein. One would expect elastic wraps incorporating the separation lines of the invention to fail, either by prematurely tearing during dispensing or during application or by limiting the elasticity of the wrap. However, when prepared according to the present invention, the perforated elastic wraps do not fail but instead remain elastic and stretchable. The perforations also allow the user to tear the wrap in a linear fashion.

Composition

25 Nonwoven Webs

Where the wrap of the present invention consists of a nonwoven web, the web may be manufactured by any of the well known methods for manufacturing nonwovens including but not limited to melt-blowing, chemically-blowing, spin-bonding, carding, and hydrodynamic entanglement. A particularly preferred method for making a nonwoven web useful in the practice of this invention is by a technique known as hydroentangling. This process is described in United States Patent Nos. 3,485,706, 3,486,168, 3,493,462, 3,494,821 and 3,508,308. Briefly, fibers are supported

on a perforated plate or similar support screen and traversed with high energy liquid streams so as to consolidate the material in a repeating pattern of entangled fiber regions and interconnecting fibers. An alternate method of forming a nonwoven web is needle-tacking as described in United States Patent No. 5,016,331.

In order to make nonwoven webs elastic, elastic filaments must be bound to the web. This process is more fully described below. Other methods of elasticizing which are commonly known in the art may alternatively be used to make the web elastic.

When manufacturing elastic wraps of nonwoven webs, the thickness of the nonwoven web is about 0.1 to about 0.4 mm. The weight of the nonwoven web is preferably about 10 g/m² to about 120 g/m². The fabric composition and weight selection of the nonwoven web is determined by the product construction and the desired properties of the finished product. Webs used in laminated fabrics bonded together with elastomers or thermally bonded to stretched elastomeric filaments or other webs are usually light weight, about 10-25 g/m². Single layer fabrics bonded with elastomeric binders or elastomeric fiber fabrics are typically medium weight fabrics in the range of about 30-90 g/m² basis weight.

Preferred nonwoven webs include a family of high-strength nonwoven fabrics available from E.I. Dupont de Nemours & Company of Wilmington, Delaware under the trademark SONTARATM including SONTARATM 8010, a hydroentangled polyester fabric. Other suitable nonwoven webs include a hydroentangled polyester fabric available from Veratec, a division of International Paper of Walpole, Massachusetts. This fabric is preferably bonded with HYSTRETCHTM V-43 binder, an elastomeric terpolymer available from the BF Goodrich

Company. Another suitable nonwoven web is the nonwoven elastomeric web described in U.S. Patent No. 5,230,701.

Binders

5 In one embodiment of the invention, the nonwoven web may include one of the well known binders for enhancing bonding of the individual fibers within the web. Selection of a suitable binder (i.e., one which has a suitable affinity for the fibers of the
10 nonwoven web) is well within the judgment of one skilled in the art. Briefly, binders for nonwoven fabrics are typically selected from such materials as homopolymer and copolymer latexes of acrylics, butadienes, styrene/butadiene rubber copolymers,
15 urethanes, vinyl acetates, vinyl acetate/acrylate copolymers, vinyl acetate/ ethylene copolymers, polyvinyl alcohols, polyvinyl chlorides, vinyl esters, vinyl ethers, etc.

 Specific examples of latex binding agents
20 include, RHOPLEX™ E-2559 (an approximately 45% solids acrylic latex binder) available from the Rohm & Haas Co. of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; UNICAL™ 76-4402 (an approximately 50% solids styrene/butadiene rubber latex) available from the UNICAL Corp. of Charlotte,
25 North Carolina; NATIONAL STARCH™ No. 78-6283 (an approximately 45% solids acrylic/vinyl acetate copolymer latex) available from the National Starch Corp. of Bridgewater, New Jersey; and the KRATON™ family of thermoplastic rubbers available from the
30 Shell Oil Company of Oak Brook, Illinois.

 Additional binders include the various thermoplastic fibers which may be incorporated directly in the nonwoven web. The nonwoven web is bonded by simply incorporating about 5 to about 10 wt% of a
35 compatible thermoplastic fiber into the nonwoven web and heating the web above the softening temperature of

the thermoplastic fibers so as to bond the thermoplastic fibers to the staple fibers in the web. A compatible thermoplastic fiber is a fiber capable of melt-bonding to the other fibers in the web without
5 substantially weakening the web.

Binder fibers are available in a wide variety of configurations including totally meltable binder fibers, side-by-side binder fibers, bicomponent binder fibers, elliptical core-sheath binder fibers,
10 concentric core-sheath binder fibers, and combinations thereof.

Examples of suitable binder fibers include, binder fibers of polyester, polyethylene, polypropylene, polybutylene, polyamide and combinations
15 thereof. The binder fibers are preferably from about 1 cm to about 20 cm in length and display a fineness of from about 0.1 denier to about 20 denier.

Specific examples of suitable core-sheath binder fibers for use in the nonwoven web include,
20 DIAWA™ binder fibers (1½ denier by 38 mm crystalline polypropylene core with meltable polyethylene sheath) and MELTY™ binder fibers (2 denier by 38 mm oriented polyester core with meltable polyester sheath) available from Chori America, Inc. of Los Angeles,
25 California; and K-52™ binder fibers (2 denier by 38 mm oriented polyester core with meltable polyester sheath) and K-54™ binder fibers (2 denier by 38 mm oriented polyester core with meltable polyester sheath) available from Hoechst Celanese Corp. of Charlotte,
30 North Carolina.

The enhanced fiber bonding achieved with binders tends to stiffen the web and thereby facilitate tearing of the web, improve handleability of the wrap
10 during application, and control fraying of the
35 fibers along the tear line. However, as understood by those skilled in the art, when intended for use as

elastic wrap 10, the amount of binder employed must be controlled so as to provide the wrap 10 with a softness and conformability acceptable to both health care professionals and patients. The surgical wrap 10
5 should possess sufficient stiffness to facilitate application of the wrap 10 while retaining sufficient conformability to be comfortable to the patient and maintain contact with the skin over prolonged periods of use.

10

Elastic Filaments

Elastic filaments are bound to the nonwoven web with an elastomeric binder such as concentrated natural rubber latex to obtain a highly elastic wrap.
15 A wrap which is bonded with concentrated natural rubber coherently bonds to itself. Alternatively, wraps may be bound with polymers such as styrenebutadiene copolymers, such wraps do not coherently bond to themselves.

20

Films and Foams

A description of elastic films suitable for use with the present invention can be found in U.S. Patent Nos. 5,088,483 and 5,160,315. Particularly
25 preferred films are elastomeric polyurethane, polyester, or polyether block amide films.

Foams such as polyvinylchloride, polyethylene and polyurethane foams are suitable foams for use with the present invention.

30

Adhesive

If an adhesive is employed, the adhesive is a pressure sensitive adhesive which, in the case of elastic wraps, is physically and biologically
35 compatible with human skin. A wide variety of suitable, skin-compatible, pressure sensitive adhesives

are known to those skilled in the art and include specifically, but not exclusively, acrylic-based adhesives, polyolefin adhesives, rubber-based adhesives, tackified styrene block copolymer adhesives, 5 and the like.

A preferred pressure sensitive adhesive is any of the acrylate copolymers such as copolymers of isooctyl acrylate and acrylic acid or acrylamide described in United States Patent No. Re. 24,906 issued 10 to Ulrich. Such adhesives are preferred for use on elastic wraps since they are relatively nonirritating to the skin.

The adhesive is optionally coated on a major surface of the elastic wrap. The wrap shown in Figure 15 1 includes a top surface 32 and an opposing bottom surface 42. The adhesive may be coated on the top surface 32, the bottom surface 42, or on both surfaces.

Low Adhesion Backsize

20 If an adhesive is employed, a layer of low adhesion backsize is preferably applied to the opposite side of the substrate. For example, if adhesive is coated on surface 42, shown in Figure 1, the low adhesion backsize would preferably be applied to 25 opposing surface 32 of the elastic wrap. Application of low adhesion backsize to the elastic wrap provides a surface with a reduced adhesive affinity for the pressure sensitive adhesive. Such reduced adhesion facilitates the unwinding of wrap from a linerless roll 30 of the wrap.

Materials suitable for use as a low adhesion backsize in this invention, include acrylates, fluorochemicals, polyethylenes, silicones, vinyl copolymers and combinations of these compounds. 35 Compounds suitable as a low adhesion backsize are disclosed in United States Patent No. 4,728,571 issued

to Clemens et al. A specific example of a suitable low adhesion backsize is SYL-OFF™, a silicone compound available from Dow Corning Corp. Preferred low adhesion backsize are the siloxane and acrylate based compounds disclosed in United States Patent No. 4,973,513 issued to Riedel and the water-insoluble hydrophobic urethane (carbamate) copolymer of polyvinyl alcohol and octadecyl isocyanate disclosed in United States Patent No. 2,532,011 issued to Dahlquist et al.

10

Separation Lines

The relative lengths 60d and 70d of perforations 60 and connecting segments 70 control several fundamental properties of wrap 10 related to dispensibility and performance. For example, length 70d of the connecting segments 70 is one factor controlling the tensile strength between individual sheets 80 of wrap 10. Separation of sheets 80 becomes difficult when the connecting segments 70 are too long while accidental and unintended separation is more likely when the connecting segments 70 are too short.

The physical dimensions of the perforations 60 and connecting segments 70 defining the separation lines 50 are important aspects of the invention. An acceptable balance must be achieved between the competing interests of adequate tensile strength to prevent premature separation and sufficient reduction in tensile strength to ensure easy and consistent separation of sheets 80 along a single separation line 50.

The parameters of separation lines 50 necessary to define performance are perforation length 60d, connecting segment length 70d and the ratio of perforation length 60d to connecting segment length 70d. Acceptable values for achieving proper performance of the perforated wrap 10 of this invention

are set forth below in Table One. The interdependence of these variables and the cooperative manner in which they effect and influence performance of the wrap 10 requires that they be considered together.

5 The tensile strength of the perforated section of wrap 10 in the longitudinal direction 11 (Figure 2) measured in accordance with the protocol set forth herein, is desirably from about 400 to about 3000 grams/cm width, preferably from about 600 to about 2000
10 grams/cm width, and most preferably from about 800 to about 1700 grams/cm width. A longitudinal tensile of less than about 400 grams/cm width tends to result in premature separation of the sheets 80 while a
longitudinal tensile of greater than about 3000
15 grams/cm width tends to require excessive force and thereby hinder separation of the sheets 80.

Table One

	Variabl	Acc ptabl	Preferred	High st Performance
5	Perforation Length (mm)	0.2 - 5.0	0.5 - 3.0	1.0 - 2.0
	Connecting Segment Length (mm)	0.1 - 1.0	0.2 - 0.8	0.3 - 0.6
10	Ratio Perforation Length -to- Connecting Segment Length	1:1 to 10:1	1:1 to 6:1	1:1 to 3:1
15				

A secondary consideration is the shape of the perforations 60 and connecting segments 70. Shape is designated as a secondary consideration because, while relevant to dispensibility and performance of the wrap 10, its impact is not as critical as the primary considerations of perforation length 60d, connecting segment length 70d and ratio of perforation length 60d to connecting segment length 70d. The perforations 60 may be shaped in accordance with any of the accepted perforation patterns including linear, angled, Y-shaped, V-shaped, dual-angled offset, sinusoidal, etc. When angled, the perforations 60 are preferably angled about 30 to 60° from the lateral axis 12 of the wrap 10. The preferred shape, based upon ease of manufacture and minimization of fraying along the torn edge, is a simple linear pattern extending laterally across the wrap 10 as shown in Figure 2.

similarly, the longitudinal distance 70d between the separation lines 50 must be selected so as to balance the competing interests of permitting substantially any length of wrap 10 to be created (more separation lines 50) and limiting the accidental and unintended separation of the wrap 10 along a separation line 50 during dispensing, application or use (fewer separation lines 50). Generally, a longitudinal spacing of about 1 to about 20 cm, preferably about 2 to about 10 cm provides an acceptable balance between these competing interests.

Method of Manufacture

Nonwoven Web Construction

15 Application of Binder

A binder may optionally be applied after formation of a nonwoven web by any of the conventional water or solvent-based coating techniques including air knife, trailing blade, direct and offset gravure, Meyer bar, wire-wound rod, reverse roll, roll coating, print bond and spray coating. Where the binder is a thermoplastic fiber, the fiber is simply dispersed into the fiber matrix prior to formation of the web and then melted.

25

Application of Elastic Filaments

For wraps having a high degree of elasticity, elastic yarns or filaments such as Lycra™ Spandex or linear polyurethane monofilament are bound to the nonwoven web with a fluid binder. A suitable binder is natural rubber latex at 60% concentration as disclosed in U.S. Patent Number 3,575,782. The elastic filaments are stretched before and during binding and drying. Relaxing the bound elastic filament/rubber/nonwoven web composite causes shirring of the nonwoven web and results in an elastic wrap.

35

Application of Low Adhesion Backsize

Similarly, the low adhesion backsize may be applied by any of the conventional coating techniques discussed in connection with the application of a
5 binder.

A dried coating weight of about 0.1 to about 0.4 mg/cm² is preferred for the low adhesion backsize and about 0.2 to 0.8 mg/cm² for the binder.

The binder and the low adhesion backsize may
10 optionally be mixed together and simultaneously coated onto the nonwoven web in accordance with the procedure outlined in the Examples section of this disclosure and disclosed in United States Patent No. 4,967,740 issued to Riedel and assigned to Minnesota Mining &
15 Manufacturing Company of St. Paul, Minnesota.

Application of Adhesive

The pressure sensitive adhesive may be applied to the substrate by any of the well known
20 techniques for coating pressure sensitive adhesives such as dispersion coating, solution coating and hot melt application. A convenient method of coating the substrate with the pressure sensitive adhesive is disclosed in United States Patent No. 3,121,021 issued
25 to Copeland. Briefly, a pressure sensitive adhesive is coated on a smooth release liner. The release liner carrying the adhesive film is then laminated to the substrate, the release liner peeled away, and the linerless wrap 10 wound into a "jumbo" roll.

30 Alternatively, the adhesive may be applied by such conventional coating techniques as air knife, trailing blade, direct and offset gravure, wire-wound rod, reverse roll, print bond, spray coating, etc.

Perforating

The separation lines 50 are conveniently created with a rotary die having a serrated perforator blade(s) positioned along the periphery of the die so as to perforate the wrap 10 at the desired intervals. Other perforation methods known in the art, e.g., laser perforation, may also be used.

Converting

The "jumbo" rolls of wrap 10 are converted into multiple rolls of commercially sized wrap 10 by conventional converting techniques including unwinding, longitudinal slitting, rewinding, and lateral cutting.

15 Method of Use

The wrap 10 is dispensed by simply gripping the free end of the wrap, unrolling the desired length, and then tearing the wrap along a separation line 50. When separating the desired length of wrap from the roll, it is generally desired to grip the sheet 80 of wrap 10 closest to the roll to prevent other separation lines 50 from tearing.

Utility of the wrap disclosed and claimed herein is not limited to uses involving contact with human skin.

EXPERIMENTAL

Protocols

Tensile Strength,

30 % Elongation

Thwing-Albert

Tensile strength determines the maximum tension that a given sample can withstand without tearing (samples are described below in Examples 1-5). The tensile strength measurements allow comparison of

the different perforati n/ separation lengths and their relative strength when stretched. Testing is conducted upon a THWING-ALBERT INTELECT™ II (Model No. 1450-42-C) constant rate of extension tensile tester equipped with
5 clamp-type jaws manufactured by the Thwing-Albert Instrument Company of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

Rectangular test samples of 2.54 cm x 22.86 cm (1" x 9") are cut from a roll of the wrap to be tested. The long dimension is cut in the direction
10 (machine or cross-machine) to be tested. The ends of the test samples are folded adhesive-to- adhesive to form a two inch nonadhesive tab at each end. The nonadhesive tabs prevent the sample from being pulled out of the jaws, reducing premature jaw breaks and
15 preventing the sample from leaving an adhesive residue on the jaws. The samples are positioned within the jaws of the Thwing-Albert tester and the tester set at a crosshead speed of 5 inches per minute, a chart speed of 10 inches per minute and a gauge length of 5 inches.
20 The chart recorder is set at 0.1 inch per chart division in the cross direction and 0.2 inch per chart division in the machine direction. The machine is activated and the sample pulled apart until the force required to pull the sample decreases.

25 Tensile strength is calculated in accordance with the equation set forth below where "Pen Height_{max}" is the number of small divisions in the cross direction reached by the pen in its maximum travel across the chart.

30

$$\text{Tensile Strength} = (\text{Load Range})(\text{Pen Height}_{\text{max}})/(100)$$

Samples having high tensile strengths are desirable since high tensile strength indicates that the sample can withstand a large tension without
35 breaking.

Elongation is calculated in accordance with the equation set forth below where "Pen Distance_{max}" is the number of small divisions reached by the pen in the machine direction from initiation of pen deflection to sample break.

$$\% \text{ Elongation} = \frac{(20) (\text{Pen Distance}_{\text{max}}) (\text{Crosshead Speed})}{(\text{Gauge Length}) (\text{Chart Speed})}$$

The higher the percent elongation for a given sample, the further the sample can be stretched without breaking. It is desirable that elastic wraps are stretchy, therefore, a high percent elongation is desirable.

15

Hand Tear Test

Opposite ends of the samples for Examples 1-5 were grasped between the thumb and forefinger of each hand and then rapidly pulled in a pull apart motion with one hand pulling toward the body and one hand pulling away from the body.

The resulting tear for each sample was evaluated according to the following scale:

	0	no tear
25	1	poor
	2	fair
	3	acceptable
	4	good
	5	excellent

The higher the result on the hand tear test, the more desirable the sample or the easier the sample is to tear. Results from the Hand Tear Test are set forth in Tables 2 through 6 below.

%Machine Direction Tensile Strength of Perforated vs.

Nonperforated Samples

— For each of the samples of Examples 1-5 below, the machine direction tensile strength was tested for a nonperforated sample as well as for a perforated sample. The tensile strength of the perforated samples as compared to the nonperforated sample is shown as a percentage in the last column of Tables 2-6 below. A perforated sample having 100% of the tensile strength of its nonperforated counterpart is ideal.

10

Test Samples

Perforating

Samples for Examples 1-5 were perforated as follows. Samples were made in increments of about 15 cm wide by about 10 m long. The wraps were then laterally perforated with a rotary die to form separation lines with linear perforations. The perforation lines were about 5.08 cm (2 inches) apart. The perforations had perforation lengths, connecting segment lengths and a ratio of perforation to connecting segment lengths as specified in Tables 2-6. Test samples were prepared using a 2.54 cm by 10 cm rule die oriented lengthwise along the machine direction of the wrap. Three samples were taken across the width of the wrap by placing the die on the wrap and striking it sharply to cut each test sample for evaluation. The perforated wraps were then tested for Tearability (Hand Tear Test) Average Machine Direction Tensile Strength (T_{MD}) and Average Machine Direction Elongation (E_{MD}). The nonperforated counterpart of each sample was also tested for Average Machine Direction Elongation to allow the comparison for Percent Machine Direction Tensile for Perforated vs. Nonperforated Sample. The results for each test are set forth in Tables 2 through 6 below.

Example 1

A 10m long and 150 mm wide piece of Coban™ 1584 self-adherent wrap (available from Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Company, St. Paul, MN) was perforated as described above. The wrap consisted of two layers of nonwoven web with one layer of spandex filaments bonded between the layers using a natural rubber latex binder as described in U.S. Patent Nos. 3,575,782 and 4,984,584.

Evaluations of these perforated samples are set forth in Table 2. Sample numbers 7, 8, 9 and 10 were acceptable and sample number 11 was preferred.

Example 2

A 10 m length of 150 mm wide nonwoven meltblown polyurethane web coated with an acrylate adhesive was dispensed on a release liner and was perforated as described above.

The web is commercially available in a converted (cut and shaped) form as SteriStrips™ brand wound closure strips (3M Co., St. Paul, MN). The melt blown web basis weight was 85-90 g/m² and the web was about 0.30-0.33 mm thick. The web and processes for its preparation are described in U.S. Patent Number 5,230,701.

Evaluations of these perforated webs are set forth below in Table 3. Samples 9 and 10 were acceptable whereas sample Number 7 was preferred.

Example 3

A 10 m length of 150 mm wide chemically blown polyvinylchloride foam having a thickness of 0.889mm and coated with an acrylic pressure sensitive adhesive was tested. The foam tape is available as Microfoam™ Surgical Tape (3M Company, St. Paul, MN).

The foam was perforated as described above. Table Four sets forth the results of evaluating the perforated samples. Sample numbers 7 and 11 were acceptable and sample number 13 was preferred.

5

Example 4

A 10m length of 150 mm wide hydroentangled polyester nonwoven fabric (HEF 140-084 available from Veratec, Inc., Walpole, MA) which had been creped on a
10 Micrex® machine (available from Bird Machine Co., Walpole, MA) was impregnated with about 16% by weight of elastomeric binder (Hystretch V-43 available from B. F. Goodrich Co., Akron, OH) and then coated with an
15 acrylate pressure sensitive adhesive (97:3 isooctyl acrylate:acrylamide). The process of manufacture used is described in U.S. Patent Number 4,366,814.

The samples were perforated and evaluated as described above. Evaluation results are set forth in Table 7. Sample 7 was acceptable and Sample 9 was
20 preferred.

Example 5

A 10m length of 150 mm wide hydroentangled polyester nonwoven fabric having a thickness of about
25 .55-.58 mm which was chemically bonded with an elastomeric binder (SF 9309.1 available from Veratec, Inc. Walpole, MA) and coated on one side with the acrylic pressure sensitive adhesive used on the samples in Example D was perforated as described above. The
30 manufacturing process used is described in U.S. Patent Number 4,366,814.

The samples were perforated and evaluated as described above and results are set forth in Table 6. Sample 10 was acceptable and Sample 5 was preferred.

35

TABLE TWO

Example A Sample #	Separation Lines			Perforated Tape Test Results			
	Perforation Length in mm	Connecting Segment Length in mm	Cut to Uncut Ratio	Hand Tear Test	Tensile; T _{MD} (in g/cm)	%Elongation; E _{MD}	% Tensile; Perforated vs. Non-perforated
1	3.18	0.41	7.75:1	5	548	150	26.0
2	3.18	0.51	6.24:1	5	572	150	27.1
3	1.98	0.41	4.83:1	5	720	150	34.1
4	1.98	0.51	3.88:1	4	978	190	46.4
5	1.56	0.25	6.24:1	5	697	150	33.0
6	1.56	0.41	3.80:1	4	972	185	46.0
7	1.56	0.51	3.06:1	4	1112	190	52.7
8	1.19	0.25	4.76:1	5	1029	195	48.8
9	1.19	0.41	2.90:1	4	1117	200	52.9
10	1.05	0.25	4.20:1	4	1199	205	56.8
11	1.19	0.51	2.33:1	4	1323	220	62.7
12	0.79	0.25	3.16:1	3	1310	200	62.1
13	0.79	0.41	1.93:1	1	1940*	225	91.9
Control	-	-	-	1	2110	230	-

20 * Did not tear along perforation

TABLE THREE

Example B Sample #	Separation Lines			Perforated Tape Test Results			
	Perforation Length in mm	Connecting Segment Length in mm	Cut to Uncut Ratio	Hand Tear Test	Tensile; T _{MD} (in g/cm)	%Elongation E _{MD}	%Tensile; Perforated vs. Non-Perforated
1	3.18	0.41	7.75:1	5	268	33	21.4
2	3.18	0.51	6.24:1	5	332	46	26.5
3	1.98	0.41	4.83:1	5	372	67	29.8
4	1.98	0.51	3.88:1	5	443	99	35.4
5	1.56	0.25	6.24:1	5	331	51	26.5
6	1.56	0.41	3.80:1	5	443	97	35.4
7	1.56	0.51	3.06:1	5	588	180	47.0
8	1.19	0.25	4.76:1	5	452	107	36.2
9	1.19	0.41	2.90:1	4	661	190	52.9
10	1.05	0.25	4.20:1	4	506	131	40.5
11	1.19	0.51	2.33:1	4	779	223	62.3
12	0.79	0.25	3.16:1	3	661	186	52.9
13	0.79	0.41	1.93:1	2	946	276	76.5
Control	-	-	-	0	1250	350	--

5

10

15

20

TABLE FOUR

Example C Sample #	Separation Lines			Perforated Tape Test Results			
	Perforation Length in mm	Connecting Segment Length in mm	Cut to Uncut Ratio	Hand Tear Test	Tensile; T _{MD} (in g/cm)	%Elongation E _{MD}	%Tensile; Perforated vs. Non-perforated
1	3.18	0.41	7.75:1	5	268	32	24
2	3.18	0.51	6.24:1	3	620	76	56
3	1.98	0.41	4.83:1	4	427	49	39
4	1.98	0.51	3.88:1	5	590	79	53
5	1.56	0.25	6.24:1	3	414	48	37
6	1.56	0.41	3.80:1	5	479	58	43
7	1.56	0.51	3.06:1	5	599	81	54
8	1.19	0.25	4.76:1	5	438	47	40
9	1.19	0.41	2.90:1	3	779	105	70
10	1.05	0.25	4.20:1	5	568	67.0	51
11	1.19	0.51	2.33:1	5	600	68.0	54
12	0.79	0.25	3.16:1	4	554	60.0	50
13	0.79	0.41	1.93:1	5	686	87.5	62
Control	-	-	-	2	1106	200.0	--

5

10

25

15

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

20

TABLE FIVE

Example D Sample #	Separation Lines			Perforated Tape Test Results			
	Perforation Length in mm	Connecting Segment Length in mm	Cut to Uncut Ratio	Hand Tear Test	Tensile; T_{MD} (in g/cm)	%Elongation E_{MD}	% T_{MD} Tensile; Perforated vs. Non-perforated
1	3.18	0.41	7.75:1	4	1180	35	20.7
2	3.18	0.51	6.21:1	3	1537	40	27.0
3	1.98	0.41	4.83:1	3	1752	42	30.7
4	1.98	0.51	3.88:1	3	2073	42	36.4
5	1.56	0.25	6.24:1	4	1430	35	25.1
6	1.56	0.41	3.80:1	3	2200	45	38.6
7	1.56	0.51	3.06:1	3	2753	53	48.3
8	1.19	0.25	4.76:1	4	1698	40	27.8
9	1.19	0.41	2.90:1	3	2931	53	51.4
10	1.05	0.25	4.20:1	3	2110	45	37.0
11	1.19	0.51	2.33:1	3	3242	57	58.6
12	0.79	0.25	3.16:1	2	3307	57	58.0
13	0.79	0.41	1.93:1	2	3682	65	64.6
Control	-	-	-	0	5700	92	-

5

10

15

20

TABLE SIX

Example E Sample #	Separation Lines			Perforated Tape Test Results			
	Perforation Length in mm	Connecting Segment Length in mm	Cut to Uncut Ratio	Hand Tear Test	Tensile; T _{MD}	%Elongation E _{MD} (in g/cm)	% _{MD} Tensile; Perforated vs. Non-perforated
1	3.18	0.41	7.75:1	5	661	7	21.8
2	3.18	0.51	6.21:1	5	930	9	30.6
3	1.98	0.41	4.83:1	5	1037	10	34.1
4	1.98	0.51	3.88:1	5	1233	12	40.6
5	1.56	0.25	6.24:1	5	1430	35	47.0
6	1.56	0.41	3.80:1	5	1162	10	38.2
7	1.56	0.51	3.06:1	4	1358	13	44.7
8	1.19	0.25	4.76:1	5	1020	9	33.5
9	1.19	0.41	2.90:1	4	1809	15	59.5
10	1.05	0.25	4.20:1	4	2377	24	78.2
11	1.19	0.51	2.33:1	3	2020	19	66.4
12	0.79	0.25	3.16:1	2	2735	25	89.9
13	0.79	0.41	1.93:1	2	2002	18	65.9
Control	-	-	-	0	3040	37	-

5

10

15

20

The Examples and the data in the corresponding Tables 2-6 illustrate that the present invention is both hand tearable and functional as an elastic wrap. That is, the invention wraps display the
5 necessary tensile strength while still allowing hand tearing of the wraps. The most preferred wraps demonstrate easy hand tearing (a large number resulting from the Hand Tear Test) and a high tensile strength (large numbers resulting from the Machine Direction
10 Tensile Strength and Elongation).

We claim:

1. Elastic wrap comprising an elastic substrate which can be longitudinally elongated between about 7
5 to 280 percent, the substrate having a longitudinal axis and a lateral axis having a plurality of longitudinally spaced, laterally extending, perforated separation lines defined by a series of about 0.2 to 5 mm perforations separated by about 0.1 to 1 mm
10 connecting segments of wrap and a ratio of perforation length to connecting segment length of about 1:1 to 10:1.
2. The wrap of claim 1 wherein the elastic substrate comprises a nonwoven web.
15
3. The wrap of claim 2 wherein the nonwoven web is coated on a major surface with a pressure sensitive adhesive.
- 20 4. The wrap of claim 3 further comprising a low adhesion backsize coated on a major surface of the elastic substrate.
5. The wrap of claim 2 wherein the nonwoven web
25 further comprises elastic filaments bound to the nonwoven web with an elastomeric binder.
6. The wrap of claim 2 wherein the nonwoven web is hydroentangled and is impregnated with an
30 elastomeric binder.
7. The wrap of claim 2 wherein the nonwoven web is comprised of polyester fibers.
- 35 8. The wrap of claim 1 wherein the elastic substrate comprises a film.

9. The wrap of claim 8 wherein the film is comprised of polyurethane.

10. Th wrap of claim 1 wherein the elastic substrate comprises a foam.

11. The wrap of claim 10 wherein the foam comprises polyvinylchloride foam.

10 12. The wrap of claim 1 wherein the perforated separation lines are uniformly longitudinally spaced about 1 to 20 cm apart to define individually separable sheets of wrap having identical longitudinal lengths.

15 13. The wrap of claim 1 wherein the perforated separation lines are uniformly longitudinally spaced about 2 to 10 cm apart to define individually separable sheets of wrap having identical longitudinal lengths.

20 14. The wrap of claim 1 wherein the perforated separation lines are defined by perforations which are about 0.5 to 3 mm long, connecting segments which are about 0.2 to 0.8 mm long, and a ratio of perforation length to connecting segment length of about 1:1 to
25 6:1.

15. The wrap of claim 1 wherein the perforated separation lines are defined by perforations which are about 1 to 2 mm long, connecting segments which are
30 about 0.2 to 0.6 mm long, and a ratio of perforation length to connecting segment length of about 1:1 to 3:1.

16. The wrap of claim 1 wherein the perforations
35 are linear perforations which extend laterally across the wrap.

17. The wrap of claim 1 wherein the perforations are linear perforations which extend at an angle of about 30° to 60° from the lateral axis of the wrap.

5 18. The wrap of claim 1 wherein the perforations are nonlinear perforations.

19. The wrap of claim 1 wherein the perforations in each separation line have a uniform length.

10

20. The wrap of claim 15 wherein the perforations in each separation line have a uniform shape.

21. The wrap of claim 1 wherein the wrap can be
15 longitudinally elongated between about 150 to 230 percent.

22. A roll of elastic wrap comprising:

- 20 a. a core, and
b. a length of elastic wrap wound around the core;
c. wherein the wrap comprises at least (i) an elastomeric binder-containing nonwoven fabric, and (ii) a plurality of
25 longitudinally spaced, laterally extending, perforated separation lines defined by a plurality of about 0.2 to 5 mm perforations separated by about 0.1 to 1 mm connecting segments of tape and a ratio of perforation
30 length to connecting segment length of about 1:1 to 10:1.

23. A method of dispensing elastic wrap comprising the steps of:

- 35 a. unwinding a length of wrap from a roll of the wrap wherein the wrap comprises a

- binder-containing nonwoven web which includes a plurality of longitudinally spaced, laterally extending, perforated separation lines defined by a plurality of
- 5 about 0.2 to 5 mm perforations separated by about 0.1 to 1 mm connecting segments of wrap and a ratio of perforation length to connecting segment length of about 1:1 to 10:1, and
- 10 b. laterally tearing the wrap along a separation line to detach a length of wrap from the tape remaining on the core.

24. A roll of elastic wrap comprising an

15 elastomeric binder-containing nonwoven web having a longitudinal axis and a lateral axis which is coated on a major surface with a cohesive material; the cohesively-coated web having a plurality of longitudinally spaced, laterally extending, perforated

20 separation lines defined by a series of about 0.2 to 5 mm perforations separated by about 0.1 to 1 mm connecting segments of dressing and a ratio of perforation length to connecting segment length of about 1:1 to 10:1.

25




Fig. 1




Fig. 2





Fig. 3

*Fig. 4*

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 6 A61F13/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 6 A61F

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US,A,3 085 024 (B.B.BLACKFORD) 9 April 1963	1,8,12, 14,18, 19,21
Y	see column 1, line 1 - line 4	2-4,10, 11, 15-17,20
	see column 1, line 44 - line 49 see column 2, line 44 - line 58 see column 3, line 1 - line 6 see column 3, line 23 - line 36 see column 3, line 46 - line 50 see figures 1,3-4	
X	US,A,4 294 240 (G.A.THILL) 13 October 1981 see abstract; figure 1 --- -/--	1,10

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

- *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- *T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- *X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- *&* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

14 December 1994

Date of mailing of the international search report

16. 01. 95

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
 Tel. (+ 31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
 Fax (+ 31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Nice, P

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US,A,4 346 700 (W.K.DUNSHEE AND J.B.ODEGAARD) 31 August 1982 see column 2, line 48 - line 59 see column 4, line 10 - line 14 see column 6, line 30 - line 32 see figures 1-2 ---	2-4, 10, 11
Y	US,A,4 581 087 (D.A.JOHNSON) 8 April 1986 see column 3, line 56 - column 4, line 6 see column 4, line 36 - line 38 see figures 3A-3C ---	15-17,20
A	US,A,3 575 782 (P.E.HANSEN) 20 April 1971 cited in the application see column 1, line 13 - line 17 see column 2, line 34 - line 38 see column 3, line 34 - line 35 see column 3, line 50 - line 58 see column 4, line 28 - line 30 ---	2,5, 22-24
A	US,A,5 213 565 (E.J.ROLLBAND) 25 May 1993 see column 3, line 20 - line 27; figure 5 -----	13

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US-A-3085024		NONE	
US-A-4294240	13-10-81	NONE	
US-A-4346700	31-08-82	NONE	
US-A-4581087	08-04-86	NONE	
US-A-3575782	20-04-71	DE-A, B 1760436	09-12-71
		FR-A- 1563498	11-04-69
		GB-A- 1209693	21-10-70
US-A-5213565	25-05-93	US-A- 5310402	10-05-94